

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,142	09/29/2003	Christopher Lawrence Fernandez	7-40	2490	
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219) Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			EXAMINER		
			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/200	DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/674,142	FERNANDEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William J. Deane	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE O	ATION.  Jly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Set     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matte	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The oath of the content of the objected to by the Examiner of the oath oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/674,142

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 03/07/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. No 1449 form was received.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 - 2, 4 - 5, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1 096 770 (Bauer et al.).

With respect to claims 1 - 2, 4 - 5, 7 and 14, note paragraphs 0022 and 0023. In addition, note the Abstract and Fig. 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,142

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 1 – 3 and 5 – 10 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,122,346 (Grossman).

With respect to claims 1-3 and 5-10 and 14, note the Abstract and Col. 3, line 33-Col. 4, line 54.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 - 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Bauer et al or Grossman in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,933,488 (Marcus et al.).

It is believed that paging is inherent in both Bauer et al and Grossman, but neither explicitly recites a paging signal. However, paging is well known in the art as shown by Marcus et al. (see at least the Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such paging means as taught by Marcus et al. into either Bauer et al. or Grossman as such would only entail the substitution of one well known notification means for another or the addition of a well known signaling means.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

17Sep2006

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR.